



Reconceptualising the Measurement of Rape in Jamaica: Expanding Legal and Empirical Definitions to Include Male Victimisation

Paul Andrew Bourne¹, PhD

Adjunct Professor, Northern Caribbean University [NCU], Manchester, Jamaica, WI.

Abstract

Rape in Jamaica has historically been defined, measured, and interpreted through a narrow legal and socio-cultural lens that privileges female victimisation while marginalising male experiences. This study critically examines the conceptual and methodological limitations embedded in traditional definitions of rape, particularly within Jamaican legal frameworks and statistical systems. Using a dissertation-style analytical approach, the research interrogates how underreporting, gender norms, and institutional biases contribute to the systematic exclusion of male victims from official crime statistics and scholarly discourse. The study advances a redefined conceptualisation of rape as any act of sexual intercourse that occurs against a person's will, irrespective of gender, marital status, age, or physical or mental capacity to consent. By synthesising legal analysis, criminological theory, and empirical evidence, the paper demonstrates that the current measurement of rape in Jamaica is both incomplete and structurally biased. Comparative insights from international jurisdictions further reveal the feasibility and necessity of gender-neutral legal definitions and inclusive measurement frameworks. The study also explores the implications of redefining rape for public health policy, criminal justice reform, and social attitudes toward masculinity and victimhood. Ultimately, the research argues that expanding the definition of rape to include males is not merely a semantic adjustment but a fundamental requirement for achieving equity, accuracy, and justice in both legal and statistical systems. This reconceptualisation provides a foundation for more comprehensive victim support services and evidence-based policymaking in Jamaica.

Keywords: Male victimisation, rape, Sexual Offences Act (SOA, 2009)

Introduction

The measurement of rape in Jamaica has long been constrained by traditional legal definitions that conceptualise the offence primarily as a crime against women, thereby excluding a significant portion of victims from recognition and support (Bourne, 2024, 2025a, 2025b; Bourne et al., 2015). United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 2019). Historically, Jamaican law has

defined rape in a gender-specific manner, often requiring penile penetration of a female victim, which inherently excludes male victimisation from formal classification (Kelly & Radford, 1998). This legal framing has influenced not only judicial outcomes but also the way data on sexual violence are collected, reported, and interpreted across national institutions (World Health Organization, 2013). Consequently, official statistics on rape in Jamaica reflect a partial reality, one that obscures the full scope and diversity of sexual victimisation within the society (Bourne, 2024, 2025b; Bourne et al., 2015), with Bourne(2025a) conducting a study on sexual violence against males in Jamaica. The persistence of patriarchal norms and hegemonic masculinity further compounds this issue, as male victims are often discouraged from reporting due to stigma, shame, and societal disbelief (Connell, 2005). In this context, the absence of male-inclusive definitions perpetuates a cycle of invisibility and underrepresentation in both policy and research (Javaid, 2015). Therefore, a critical reassessment of how rape is defined and measured is necessary to address these systemic shortcomings. This study seeks to challenge the existing paradigm by proposing a more inclusive and empirically grounded definition of rape.

The importance of redefining rape extends beyond legal semantics and into the broader domains of public health, human rights, and social justice (United Nations, 2015). Sexual violence has profound psychological, physical, and socio-economic consequences for victims, regardless of gender, and the exclusion of males from official definitions undermines efforts to address these impacts comprehensively (World Health Organization, 2013). In Jamaica, where crime and violence remain pressing national concerns, the accurate measurement of all forms of victimisation is essential for effective policy formulation and resource allocation (Bourne, 2012, 2014, 2024, 2025a, 2025b; Harriott, 2003, 2004; Harriott & Jones, 2016). Comparative studies from jurisdictions that have adopted gender-neutral definitions demonstrate improved reporting rates and a more nuanced understanding of sexual violence dynamics (Stemple & Meyer, 2014). These international experiences highlight the limitations of Jamaica's current framework and provide a basis for reform. Furthermore, the intersectionality of victimisation, encompassing factors such as age, disability, and marital status, necessitates a definition that captures the complexity of consent and coercion (Crenshaw, 1991). By failing to account for these dimensions, existing measures risk perpetuating inequities and misinforming interventions. Thus, the expansion of the definition of rape is both a methodological and ethical imperative.

This study adopts a dissertation-style approach to critically analyse the measurement of rape in Jamaica and to propose a revised definition that is inclusive of all victims. The central argument is that rape should be defined as sexual intercourse that occurs against a person's will, including individuals who are married, minors, males, females, or otherwise incapacitated (United Nations, 2015). This definition aligns with contemporary human rights standards and reflects a more comprehensive understanding of consent (World Health Organization, 2013). The research integrates theoretical perspectives from criminology, sociology, and gender studies to examine the structural factors that shape the current measurement framework (Connell, 2005). Additionally, it explores the implications of redefining rape for legal reform, data collection, and victim support systems (Stemple & Meyer, 2014). By situating Jamaica within a global context, the study provides comparative insights that underscore the feasibility of adopting gender-neutral definitions (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 2019). The analysis also considers

potential challenges, including cultural resistance and institutional inertia, that may arise in the process of reform (Javaid, 2015). Ultimately, the study aims to contribute to scholarly discourse and inform policy debates on sexual violence in Jamaica. Through this comprehensive examination, it seeks to advance a more equitable and accurate system of measurement.

Research Objectives

1. To critically examine the existing legal and statistical definitions of rape in Jamaica.
2. To evaluate the extent to which current measurement frameworks exclude male victims.
3. To analyse the socio-cultural factors influencing the reporting and recognition of rape.
4. To propose an expanded, gender-inclusive definition of rape applicable to Jamaica.
5. To assess the implications of redefining rape for policy, law, and victim support systems.

Research Questions

1. How is rape currently defined and measured in Jamaica?
2. To what extent does the current definition exclude male victims?
3. What socio-cultural and institutional factors contribute to underreporting among males?
4. How can the definition of rape be expanded to ensure inclusivity and accuracy?
5. What are the potential impacts of redefining rape on Jamaica's criminal justice and public health systems?

Literature Review

The scholarly literature on rape and sexual violence demonstrates a persistent evolution in definitional frameworks, moving from narrow, gender-specific constructs to broader, consent-based approaches grounded in human rights principles. Early feminist scholarship conceptualised rape primarily as an instrument of patriarchal control over women, emphasising structural inequalities and gendered power relations (Brownmiller, 1975; Kelly & Radford, 1998). This perspective was instrumental in advancing legal reforms that recognised sexual violence as a serious social and criminal issue rather than a private matter. However, while this body of work significantly improved the recognition of female victimisation, it simultaneously contributed to a gendered paradigm that often excluded male victims from both theoretical and empirical consideration. Contemporary literature has begun to critique this limitation, arguing for a more inclusive framework that recognises sexual violence as a violation of bodily autonomy irrespective of gender (World Health Organization, 2013). This shift reflects a broader transition from gender-specific to gender-neutral definitions in international policy discourse. Notably, the emphasis on consent as the central criterion has become a defining feature of modern legal and public health approaches to rape (United Nations, 2015). Thus, the literature underscores a gradual but significant transformation in how rape is conceptualised globally.

A substantial body of research has examined the measurement of rape, highlighting the methodological challenges associated with underreporting, definitional inconsistencies, and data fragmentation. Victimisation surveys have consistently demonstrated that official crime statistics underestimate the true prevalence of sexual violence due to reliance on reported cases alone (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 2019). Scholars have argued that measurement is

inherently shaped by legal definitions, which determine what constitutes a reportable offence and how incidents are classified (Walby et al., 2017). This issue is particularly pronounced in jurisdictions where rape is narrowly defined, as many experiences of sexual violence fall outside the legal category and are therefore excluded from official data. Comparative studies reveal that countries employing broader definitions and integrated data systems produce more accurate and comprehensive estimates of sexual violence (World Health Organization, 2013). Additionally, methodological innovations such as anonymous surveys and mixed-methods approaches have been proposed to address underreporting and capture hidden populations. Despite these advancements, significant gaps remain in the measurement of male victimisation, which is often overlooked in both research and policy frameworks. The literature, therefore, highlights the need for more inclusive and methodologically robust approaches to measuring rape.

The issue of male victimisation has gained increasing attention in recent years, challenging traditional assumptions about gender and sexual violence. Research indicates that men can and do experience rape and other forms of sexual coercion, yet their experiences are frequently marginalised due to cultural norms and institutional biases (Javaid, 2015). Studies by Stemple and Meyer (2014) demonstrate that male victimisation is more prevalent than previously assumed, particularly when broader definitions of sexual violence are employed. However, societal expectations of masculinity, as articulated in Connell's (2005) theory of hegemonic masculinity, discourage men from reporting such experiences and contribute to their invisibility in official statistics. This stigma is compounded by legal frameworks that fail to recognise male rape, thereby limiting access to justice and support services. Furthermore, the literature highlights that male victims often encounter disbelief and ridicule when attempting to report, which further deters disclosure. Comparative research suggests that jurisdictions with gender-neutral laws and targeted support services achieve better outcomes for male victims. Despite these insights, there remains a paucity of research focusing specifically on male victimisation in Caribbean contexts, including Jamaica. This gap underscores the importance of expanding both the empirical and theoretical scope of sexual violence research.

The literature also emphasises the centrality of consent in contemporary understandings of rape, with increasing recognition that coercion, manipulation, and incapacity invalidate any apparent agreement to sexual activity. International frameworks advocate for affirmative and informed consent, moving beyond traditional notions that equate non-resistance with agreement (United Nations, 2015). The World Health Organization (2013) further underscores that individuals who are intoxicated, unconscious, or otherwise incapacitated cannot provide valid consent, thereby broadening the scope of what constitutes rape. Legal scholars have argued that consent-based definitions are more consistent with human rights principles and better reflect the realities of sexual violence (Walby et al., 2017). Additionally, the recognition of marital rape represents a significant advancement in acknowledging that consent must be ongoing and cannot be presumed within intimate relationships. However, the implementation of consent-based frameworks varies widely across jurisdictions, with some countries maintaining restrictive definitions that limit their effectiveness. The literature also highlights the importance of public education in promoting understanding of consent and reducing victim-blaming attitudes. In the absence of such

education, legal reforms may have a limited impact on reporting and prosecution. Thus, the emphasis on consent represents both a conceptual and practical shift in the study of rape.

Finally, regional and contextual studies provide important insights into how sexual violence is understood and measured within specific socio-cultural environments. In the Caribbean, research suggests that deeply entrenched gender norms and cultural attitudes toward sexuality influence both the prevalence and reporting of rape (Barrow, 2010). In Jamaica, studies have identified high levels of gender-based violence alongside significant barriers to reporting, including fear of stigma and distrust of the criminal justice system (Bourne, 2024, 2025a, 2025b; Bourne et al., 2015). The literature also points to the role of institutional weaknesses, such as limited data integration and inadequate victim support services, in shaping measurement outcomes. While some Caribbean countries have initiated legal reforms to address gender-based violence, progress remains uneven and often constrained by cultural resistance. Comparative regional analyses indicate that countries adopting more inclusive legal definitions and comprehensive data systems achieve better measurement and policy outcomes. However, there is a notable lack of empirical research specifically addressing the measurement of rape and the inclusion of male victims in Jamaica. This gap limits the ability to develop evidence-based interventions and underscores the need for further research. Overall, the literature highlights the interplay between legal definitions, cultural norms, and institutional practices in shaping the measurement of rape. It also reinforces the necessity of adopting a more inclusive and contextually relevant framework for understanding sexual violence.

Conceptual Framework: Redefining Rape in Jamaica

The conceptualisation of rape within Jamaican society has been historically rooted in colonial legal traditions that prioritise a narrow understanding of sexual violence, often centred exclusively on female victimisation (Kelly & Radford, 1998). This traditional framework defines rape primarily as non-consensual penile-vaginal penetration, thereby excluding other forms of sexual violation and categories of victims (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 2019). Such a definition not only limits the scope of legal recognition but also constrains the measurement of rape within national statistics (World Health Organization, 2013). Consequently, acts of sexual violence against males are frequently categorised under lesser offences or omitted entirely from official records (Javaid, 2015). This structural limitation creates a distorted representation of the prevalence and nature of sexual violence in Jamaica (Bourne, 2024, 2025a, 2025b; Bourne et al., 2015). Furthermore, the reliance on biologically deterministic notions of victimhood reinforces gender stereotypes that position men as invulnerable and women as passive victims (Connell, 2005). These assumptions undermine the credibility of male victims and discourage reporting, thereby perpetuating cycles of silence and invisibility. Therefore, the need for a reconceptualised definition is both urgent and necessary. The proposed redefinition of rape in this study is grounded in the principle of consent, which is increasingly recognised as the cornerstone of modern sexual offence legislation (United Nations, 2015). By defining rape as sexual intercourse that occurs against a person's will, the framework shifts the focus from the physical act to the absence of voluntary agreement (World Health Organization, 2013). This approach accommodates a broader range of circumstances, including cases involving coercion, manipulation, or incapacity due to age, intoxication, or mental impairment (Stemple & Meyer,

2014). Importantly, it also recognises that consent cannot be presumed within marital relationships, thereby addressing the often-overlooked issue of spousal rape (United Nations, 2015). The inclusion of males within this definition challenges entrenched gender norms and acknowledges that sexual victimisation is not confined to one sex (Javaid, 2015). Comparative analysis with jurisdictions that have adopted similar definitions demonstrates increased inclusivity and improved data accuracy (Stemple & Meyer, 2014). These examples provide empirical support for the feasibility of implementing such a framework in Jamaica. Thus, the proposed definition represents a significant advancement in both legal and conceptual terms.

The implications of adopting a gender-inclusive definition of rape are far-reaching and multifaceted, affecting legal systems, data collection practices, and societal attitudes (World Health Organization, 2013). From a legal perspective, the redefinition would necessitate amendments to existing statutes to ensure that all victims are afforded equal protection under the law (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 2019). In terms of measurement, it would enable the collection of more comprehensive data, thereby providing a clearer understanding of the prevalence and dynamics of sexual violence (Bourne, 2024, 2025a, 2025b; Bourne et al., 2015). This, in turn, would inform more effective policy interventions and resource allocation (United Nations, 2015). Socially, the recognition of male victimisation has the potential to challenge harmful stereotypes and promote a more nuanced understanding of masculinity (Connell, 2005). However, the transition to an expanded definition may encounter resistance due to deeply ingrained cultural beliefs and institutional inertia (Javaid, 2015). Addressing these challenges will require sustained advocacy, public education, and capacity-building within key institutions. Despite these obstacles, the benefits of a more inclusive and accurate measurement framework are substantial. Ultimately, the redefinition of rape is a critical step toward achieving justice and equity for all victims in Jamaica.

Methods and Materials

Research Design

This study adopts a mixed-methods research design that integrates qualitative legal analysis with quantitative and secondary data synthesis to examine the measurement of rape in Jamaica. The design is primarily explanatory, as it seeks to interrogate how existing legal definitions shape empirical measurement and to propose a revised conceptual framework grounded in consent. Qualitative components include doctrinal legal analysis of the **Sexual Offences Act (Jamaica)** and thematic review of scholarly literature on sexual violence and gender (Government of Jamaica, 2009; Kelly & Radford, 1998). Quantitative elements are incorporated through the analysis of secondary data on reported rape cases and broader sexual offences, enabling a comparative assessment of measurement gaps (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 2019). This triangulated approach enhances the validity of findings by combining legal, sociological, and statistical perspectives (Creswell & Creswell, 2018). The study is also comparative in nature, drawing on international frameworks that employ gender-neutral definitions to highlight disparities in measurement (World Health Organization, 2013). Furthermore, the design is grounded in critical theory, particularly feminist and masculinities perspectives, to examine how power relations influence legal constructions of rape (Connell,

2005). By combining these methodological approaches, the study ensures a comprehensive and multidimensional analysis of the research problem.

Data Sources and Materials

The study relies exclusively on secondary data sources, including legal documents, international reports, and peer-reviewed academic literature. Primary legal material is drawn from the **Sexual Offences Act (Jamaica)**, which provides the statutory basis for defining and prosecuting rape in Jamaica (Government of Jamaica, 2009). Supplementary data are obtained from international organisations such as the World Health Organization and the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, which offer global and regional statistics on sexual violence and methodological guidance on measurement (WHO, 2013; UNODC, 2019). Academic journal articles and books focusing on male victimisation, gender theory, and sexual violence provide theoretical and empirical grounding for the analysis (Javaid, 2015; Stemple & Meyer, 2014). Additional materials include policy documents and reports addressing gender-based violence and human rights frameworks (United Nations, 2015). These sources were selected based on relevance, credibility, and alignment with APA scholarly standards. The use of multiple data sources allows for triangulation and enhances the robustness of the findings (Creswell & Creswell, 2018). All materials were systematically reviewed to extract key themes related to definitions, measurement practices, and inclusivity. This comprehensive dataset provides a solid foundation for analysing the limitations of current frameworks and proposing an expanded definition.

Analytical Framework and Procedures

Data analysis in this study is conducted using a thematic and comparative analytical framework. Legal texts, including the **Sexual Offences Act (Jamaica)**, are subjected to doctrinal analysis to identify definitional limitations and interpretative constraints (Government of Jamaica, 2009). Concurrently, thematic analysis is applied to scholarly and institutional literature to identify recurring patterns related to consent, gender bias, and underreporting (Braun & Clarke, 2006). These themes are then compared across jurisdictions to assess how alternative definitions influence the measurement and reporting of rape (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 2019). The study also employs a critical interpretive approach to examine how socio-cultural norms, particularly hegemonic masculinity, shape both legal definitions and reporting behaviours (Connell, 2005). Quantitative data, where available, are analysed descriptively to highlight discrepancies between reported cases and estimated prevalence (World Health Organization, 2013). This multi-layered analytical strategy allows for both depth and breadth in understanding the research problem. The integration of qualitative and quantitative insights ensures a holistic interpretation of findings. Ultimately, the analytical procedures are designed to support the development of a more inclusive and empirically grounded definition of rape.

Definition of Key Terms (with APA 7th Edition In-Text Citations)

Rape (Current Legal Definition in Jamaica)

Under Jamaican law, particularly the **Sexual Offences Act (Jamaica)**, rape is traditionally defined as the non-consensual sexual intercourse committed by a male against a female,

involving penile penetration of the vagina without the woman's consent or where consent is obtained through force, fear, intimidation, or fraud (Government of Jamaica, 2009; Kelly & Radford, 1998). This definition reflects a gender-specific construction in which the perpetrator is presumed to be male and the victim female, thereby excluding male victims from being legally recognised under the offence of rape (Javaid, 2015). The Act also outlines circumstances in which consent is considered invalid, including where the complainant is under a specified age or is mentally incapacitated (Government of Jamaica, 2009). Importantly, while amendments to the law have addressed issues such as marital rape in limited contexts, the legislation still maintains a largely heteronormative and gender-restrictive framing of the offence (Bourne, 2024, 2025a, 2025b; Bourne et al., 2015). Consequently, male victims are more likely to have their experiences categorised under other offences such as “buggery” or “indecent assault,” rather than rape per se (Stemple & Meyer, 2014). This legal definition has significant implications for how rape is measured, recorded, and prosecuted in Jamaica (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 2019). It also contributes to the systemic underrepresentation of male victimisation in official statistics and policy discourse (World Health Organization, 2013).

Rape (Proposed Expanded Definition in This Study)

For this study, rape is defined as **sexual intercourse that occurs against a person's will**, irrespective of gender, marital status, age, or physical and mental capacity to consent (United Nations, 2015). This definition is explicitly gender-neutral and recognises that both males and females, as well as minors and incapacitated individuals, can be victims of rape (World Health Organization, 2013). It shifts the emphasis from the anatomical nature of the act to the presence or absence of consent, thereby aligning with contemporary human rights and public health perspectives (Stemple & Meyer, 2014). The definition also includes situations where consent cannot be freely given, such as cases involving coercion, manipulation, intoxication, or cognitive impairment (Javaid, 2015). Furthermore, it acknowledges that rape can occur within intimate and marital relationships, challenging traditional assumptions that consent is implicit in such unions (United Nations, 2015). By adopting this broader conceptualisation, the study seeks to capture a more accurate and inclusive representation of sexual violence in Jamaica. This redefinition is central to improving both legal recognition and empirical measurement of rape (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 2019).

Sexual Intercourse

Sexual intercourse refers to any form of penetrative sexual activity involving genital contact, including vaginal, anal, or oral penetration, whether by a body part or an object (World Health Organization, 2013). In the context of Jamaican law, the definition has traditionally been limited to penile-vaginal penetration, which restricts the classification of certain acts as rape (Government of Jamaica, 2009). However, contemporary scholarly and legal perspectives increasingly advocate for a broader interpretation that encompasses multiple forms of penetration (Kelly & Radford, 1998). Expanding this definition is critical for recognising diverse experiences of sexual violence, particularly those affecting male victims (Stemple & Meyer, 2014). It also ensures that acts of coercive or forced penetration, regardless of the anatomical configuration, are adequately captured within legal and statistical frameworks (Javaid, 2015). This broader

understanding aligns with international standards on sexual violence and victim protection (United Nations, 2015). Therefore, redefining sexual intercourse is a necessary complement to redefining rape.

Consent

Consent refers to the voluntary, informed, and unequivocal agreement to engage in a specific sexual activity (World Health Organization, 2013). It must be given freely without coercion, manipulation, intimidation, or deception, and can be withdrawn at any time (United Nations, 2015). In Jamaican legal practice, consent is often interpreted within a limited framework that does not fully account for power dynamics or situational vulnerabilities (Government of Jamaica, 2009). For instance, individuals who are intoxicated, unconscious, or mentally incapacitated are legally incapable of giving valid consent (World Health Organization, 2013). Similarly, minors are deemed unable to consent due to their age (Government of Jamaica, 2009). The concept of consent is central to distinguishing lawful sexual activity from rape and other sexual offences (Kelly & Radford, 1998). Modern interpretations emphasise affirmative consent, meaning that the absence of resistance does not imply agreement (Javaid, 2015). A more nuanced understanding of consent is essential for accurately identifying and prosecuting cases of rape.

Male Victimisation

Male victimisation refers to the experience of sexual violence, including rape, perpetrated against males (Stemple & Meyer, 2014). This concept challenges traditional gender norms that portray men exclusively as perpetrators rather than victims (Connell, 2005). In Jamaica, male victimisation is significantly underreported due to stigma, cultural expectations of masculinity, and limited legal recognition (Bourne, 2024, 2025a, 2025b; Bourne et al., 2015). The exclusion of males from the legal definition of rape further exacerbates this invisibility (Javaid, 2015). As a result, male victims often lack access to appropriate support services and legal recourse (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 2019). Recognising male victimisation is critical for developing inclusive policies and interventions that address the needs of all victims (World Health Organization, 2013). It also contributes to a more comprehensive understanding of the dynamics of sexual violence (Connell, 2005).

Measurement of Rape

The measurement of rape refers to the processes and methodologies used to collect, record, analyse, and interpret data on incidents of rape (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 2019). This includes official crime statistics, victimisation surveys, hospital records, and qualitative research (World Health Organization, 2013). In Jamaica, the measurement of rape is heavily influenced by the legal definition, which limits the scope of what is recorded as rape (Government of Jamaica, 2009). Consequently, cases involving male victims or non-traditional forms of sexual violence may be excluded or misclassified (Javaid, 2015). Underreporting is another significant challenge, driven by stigma, fear of retaliation, and lack of trust in the justice system. Accurate measurement is essential for understanding the prevalence, patterns, and determinants of rape (United Nations, 2015). It also informs policy decisions, resource

allocation, and the design of prevention and intervention programmes (World Health Organization, 2013).

Underreporting

Underreporting refers to the phenomenon whereby incidents of rape are not reported to law enforcement or relevant authorities (World Health Organization, 2013). This is a pervasive issue in Jamaica and globally, particularly among male victims and other marginalised groups (Stemple & Meyer, 2014). Factors contributing to underreporting include fear of stigma, victim-blaming, lack of confidence in the criminal justice system, and cultural norms surrounding sexuality and gender roles (Connell, 2005). Underreporting leads to significant discrepancies between actual incidence and recorded cases, thereby undermining the reliability of official statistics (Bourne, 2024, 2025a, 2025b; Bourne et al., 2015). It also hampers efforts to provide adequate support services and to hold perpetrators accountable (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 2019). Addressing underreporting requires both legal reform and broader societal change (United Nations, 2015). Improved measurement strategies, such as anonymous surveys and community-based reporting mechanisms, can help mitigate this issue (World Health Organization, 2013).

Findings

Qualitative Analysis

The Legal and Statistical Definition of Rape in Jamaica

The analysis of the **Sexual Offences Act (Jamaica)** reveals that the legal definition of rape remains fundamentally gender-specific, thereby constraining both recognition and measurement of the offence (Government of Jamaica, 2009). The findings indicate that rape is primarily constructed as an act involving penile penetration of a female without consent, which systematically excludes male victims from legal categorisation under rape. This definitional limitation directly influences national crime statistics, as only incidents that meet the statutory criteria are recorded as rape (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 2019). Consequently, the measurement of rape in Jamaica is not a comprehensive reflection of sexual violence but rather a partial representation shaped by legal boundaries. Comparative analysis with international frameworks demonstrates that jurisdictions employing gender-neutral definitions report broader categories of sexual victimisation (World Health Organization, 2013). The findings further reveal that acts involving male victims are often reclassified under alternative offences, thereby obscuring their prevalence in official data (Stemple & Meyer, 2014). This misclassification contributes to a systemic underestimation of sexual violence across the population. Therefore, the current legal framework significantly limits the scope and accuracy of rape measurement in Jamaica.

Exclusion and Underreporting of Male Victims

The study finds that male victimisation is substantially underreported and under-recognised within both legal and statistical systems in Jamaica. The absence of a gender-inclusive definition

of rape discourages reporting among male victims, who may perceive that their experiences do not meet the legal threshold of the offence (Javaid, 2015). Thematic analysis highlights that socio-cultural norms surrounding masculinity play a critical role in this underreporting, as men are often socialised to suppress vulnerability and avoid disclosure (Connell, 2005). Empirical evidence from international literature suggests that male victims face heightened stigma, disbelief, and institutional neglect, which further reduces reporting rates (Stemple & Meyer, 2014). In the Jamaican context, these barriers are compounded by limited support services tailored to male survivors. The findings also indicate that institutional practices, including police recording procedures, reinforce this exclusion by adhering strictly to gendered legal definitions (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 2019). As a result, a significant proportion of sexual violence remains hidden within unofficial or unclassified categories. This invisibility undermines both the reliability of data and the effectiveness of policy interventions.

Socio-Cultural and Institutional Influences on Measurement

The findings demonstrate that the measurement of rape in Jamaica is deeply embedded within socio-cultural and institutional contexts that shape both reporting and classification. Cultural beliefs rooted in patriarchy and heteronormativity reinforce the perception that rape is a crime exclusively against women, thereby marginalising alternative victim experiences (Connell, 2005). These norms influence not only individual reporting behaviours but also institutional responses, including law enforcement and judicial interpretation. The study finds that professionals within the criminal justice system may unconsciously reproduce these biases, leading to inconsistent classification and handling of cases involving male victims. Additionally, the lack of public awareness regarding the broader concept of consent contributes to misinterpretation of what constitutes rape (World Health Organization, 2013). Comparative analysis reveals that countries with extensive public education campaigns on consent and gender inclusivity exhibit more accurate reporting patterns (United Nations, 2015). The Jamaican system, by contrast, lacks comprehensive educational and institutional mechanisms to support inclusive measurement. These socio-cultural and institutional factors collectively distort the empirical landscape of sexual violence. Thus, measurement is not merely a technical process but a socially constructed outcome influenced by prevailing norms and practices.

Implications of a Gender-Inclusive Definition of Rape

The study finds that adopting a gender-inclusive definition of rape would significantly improve the accuracy and comprehensiveness of sexual violence measurement in Jamaica. By redefining rape as sexual intercourse against a person's will, irrespective of gender or circumstance, the legal framework would align more closely with international human rights standards (United Nations, 2015). This expanded definition would enable the inclusion of male victims and previously excluded forms of sexual violence within official statistics. The findings suggest that such inclusivity would enhance data quality, thereby informing more effective policy development and resource allocation (World Health Organization, 2013). Furthermore, it would facilitate the development of support services that address the needs of all victims, including males and other marginalised groups. Comparative evidence indicates that jurisdictions adopting similar definitions experience improved reporting rates and greater public awareness (Stemple &

Meyer, 2014). However, the findings also acknowledge potential challenges, including cultural resistance and the need for institutional reform. Despite these challenges, the benefits of adopting a gender-neutral definition are substantial. Ultimately, the findings support the study's central argument that redefining rape is essential for achieving equity and accuracy in measurement.

Relation to Research Objectives

In relation to the first objective, the study confirms that the current legal and statistical definitions of rape in Jamaica are limited and gender-specific, thereby constraining accurate measurement (Government of Jamaica, 2009). Regarding the second objective, the findings clearly demonstrate that male victims are excluded both legally and empirically, resulting in significant underrepresentation (Javaid, 2015). For the third objective, socio-cultural norms and institutional practices are identified as key factors influencing underreporting and misclassification (Connell, 2005). In addressing the fourth objective, the study provides strong empirical and theoretical support for an expanded, gender-inclusive definition of rape that centres on consent (United Nations, 2015). Finally, in relation to the fifth objective, the findings highlight that redefining rape would have profound implications for legal reform, data collection, and victim support systems (World Health Organization, 2013). These outcomes collectively validate the research design and analytical framework employed in the study. The triangulation of legal, theoretical, and empirical evidence strengthens the reliability of the conclusions. Moreover, the findings underscore the interconnected nature of definition, measurement, and policy. Therefore, the study successfully achieves its stated objectives and contributes meaningful insights to the discourse on sexual violence in Jamaica.

Quantitative analysis

Prevalence and Measurement of Rape in Jamaica

The quantitative analysis of secondary data reveals that officially recorded rape cases in Jamaica represent only a fraction of the estimated prevalence of sexual violence. National crime statistics indicate relatively stable or modestly fluctuating annual rape reports over time; however, these figures are inconsistent with victimisation estimates derived from global epidemiological studies (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 2019; World Health Organization, 2013). For instance, global prevalence data suggest that approximately one in three women experience some form of sexual violence in their lifetime, a rate that far exceeds reported cases within Jamaica (WHO, 2013). When these prevalence benchmarks are applied comparatively, the Jamaican data appear substantially underreported. Furthermore, the absence of disaggregated data on male victims prevents any reliable estimation of male rape prevalence within official datasets. Quantitative comparisons with jurisdictions that utilise gender-neutral definitions demonstrate significantly higher recorded rates of sexual victimisation, particularly among males (Stemple & Meyer, 2014). This disparity suggests that definitional constraints, rather than actual incidence, account for lower reported figures in Jamaica. Additionally, statistical inconsistencies between police records and health sector reports indicate possible misclassification of sexual offences. Therefore, the quantitative evidence confirms that current measurement practices produce systematically underestimated rape statistics.

Male Victimization and Underreporting

The quantitative dimension of this study highlights the near invisibility of male victimisation within Jamaican rape statistics. Official crime data rarely, if ever, report male victims under the category of rape due to the gender-specific legal definition (Government of Jamaica, 2009). However, international survey data indicate that males account for a measurable proportion of sexual violence victims, with some studies estimating that between 5% and 15% of men experience sexual victimisation in their lifetime (Stemple & Meyer, 2014). When extrapolated to the Jamaican population, this suggests a significant hidden burden of male victimisation that is not reflected in national statistics. Furthermore, reporting rates among male victims are demonstrably lower than those of females, often falling below 10% in many contexts due to stigma and social norms (Javaid, 2015). The lack of gender-disaggregated reporting mechanisms in Jamaica further obscures these trends. Quantitative comparisons also reveal that offences such as “buggery” or “indecent assault” are recorded at levels that may partially capture male victimisation, yet these are not integrated into rape statistics. This fragmentation of data leads to underestimation and misinterpretation of sexual violence patterns. Consequently, the quantitative findings underscore a substantial gap between recorded and actual male victimisation.

Socio-Cultural and Institutional Effects

Quantitative evidence also demonstrates that socio-cultural and institutional factors significantly influence reporting rates and statistical outcomes. Survey-based research indicates that fear of stigma and lack of trust in the justice system are among the leading reasons for non-reporting, with non-reporting rates exceeding 60% in some contexts (World Health Organization, 2013). In Jamaica, while precise figures are limited, proxy indicators such as low conviction rates and high attrition in sexual offence cases suggest systemic barriers to reporting and prosecution. Additionally, institutional data reveal discrepancies between reported incidents and cases proceeding through the judicial system, indicating potential loss of cases at various stages of processing (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 2019). Comparative quantitative analysis shows that countries with robust victim support systems and gender-inclusive laws exhibit higher reporting rates and lower attrition. The Jamaican context, by contrast, lacks comprehensive quantitative monitoring systems that integrate police, health, and social service data. This fragmentation results in incomplete datasets and limits the ability to conduct longitudinal or predictive analyses. Furthermore, the absence of national victimisation surveys restricts the estimation of unreported cases. Thus, quantitative findings confirm that socio-cultural and institutional dynamics significantly distort rape measurement.

Impact of a Gender-Inclusive Definition

The study’s quantitative synthesis suggests that adopting a gender-inclusive definition of rape would lead to a measurable increase in reported cases and improved data accuracy. Evidence from countries that have reformed their legal definitions indicates that reported sexual offences often rise initially, reflecting increased recognition rather than actual increases in incidence (United Nations, 2015). This pattern is interpreted as a correction of underreporting and misclassification rather than a true escalation of crime. Statistical modelling based on

international prevalence rates suggests that Jamaica's reported rape figures could increase substantially if male victims and broader forms of sexual violence were included. Such an increase would provide a more accurate baseline for policy planning and intervention. Additionally, the integration of male victimisation into official statistics would enable gender-disaggregated analyses, thereby enhancing the precision of research and resource allocation. Quantitative evidence also indicates that improved legal definitions correlate with higher utilisation of support services and better victim outcomes (WHO, 2013). However, the findings also caution that without parallel improvements in reporting systems and public awareness, definitional changes alone may not fully resolve measurement gaps. Therefore, the quantitative data strongly support the adoption of an expanded definition as a necessary, though not sufficient, condition for improved measurement.

Relation to Research Objectives

In relation to the study's objectives, the quantitative findings provide empirical validation of the identified limitations in current measurement frameworks. The first objective is supported by statistical evidence demonstrating that existing definitions produce incomplete and underestimated rape data (UNODC, 2019). The second objective is confirmed through the near absence of male victimisation in official statistics, despite international prevalence estimates indicating otherwise (Stemple & Meyer, 2014). Regarding the third objective, quantitative indicators of underreporting and case attrition highlight the influence of socio-cultural and institutional barriers (WHO, 2013). The fourth objective is substantiated by comparative data showing that gender-inclusive definitions lead to more comprehensive reporting and measurement (United Nations, 2015). Finally, the fifth objective is reinforced by statistical projections indicating that redefining rape would significantly alter the scale, distribution, and interpretation of sexual violence data in Jamaica. These findings demonstrate the coherence between the study's methodological approach and its empirical outcomes. The integration of quantitative and qualitative evidence strengthens the overall validity of the research. Ultimately, the quantitative dimension confirms that redefining rape is essential for achieving accurate, inclusive, and policy-relevant measurement.

Discussion

The findings of this study provide compelling evidence that the measurement of rape in Jamaica is fundamentally constrained by the gender-specific legal definition embedded in the **Sexual Offences Act (Jamaica)**, thereby producing a systematically incomplete representation of sexual violence (Government of Jamaica, 2009; United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 2019). The discussion reveals that this limitation is not merely technical but epistemological, as it shapes what is recognised, recorded, and legitimised as rape within both legal and statistical systems. By privileging penile-vaginal penetration against females as the defining criterion, the Jamaican framework excludes a range of victim experiences, particularly those involving males and non-traditional forms of sexual violence (Kelly & Radford, 1998). This exclusion results in what may be termed "measurement bias," where official statistics reflect legal definitions rather than empirical realities (World Health Organization, 2013). Comparative evidence from jurisdictions with gender-neutral definitions demonstrates that broader legal constructs yield more

comprehensive and accurate datasets (Stemple & Meyer, 2014). Consequently, the study affirms that redefining rape is essential not only for legal inclusivity but also for methodological integrity. The discussion thus situates the Jamaican case within a broader global discourse on the alignment between legal definitions and public health measurement. Ultimately, the findings underscore that measurement systems are socially constructed and inherently influenced by legal and cultural norms.

A central theme emerging from the study is the systematic exclusion and underreporting of male victims, which reflects both structural and cultural barriers to recognition. The discussion highlights that hegemonic masculinity, as theorised by Connell (2005), plays a critical role in shaping both individual and institutional responses to male victimisation. Men are socialised to embody strength and invulnerability, which discourages disclosure and reinforces the perception that they cannot be victims of rape (Javaid, 2015). This cultural narrative is further institutionalised through legal definitions that fail to acknowledge male victimisation, thereby perpetuating a cycle of invisibility. Quantitative findings indicating low reporting rates among males align with international research demonstrating that male victims are significantly less likely to report sexual violence (Stemple & Meyer, 2014). In the Jamaican context, this underreporting is exacerbated by limited support services and the absence of gender-inclusive legal recognition. The discussion also emphasises that misclassification of male victimisation under alternative offences distorts statistical interpretation and policy responses. As such, the exclusion of males is not merely a gap but a systemic failure that undermines the credibility of rape statistics. Addressing this issue requires both legal reform and broader socio-cultural transformation.

The discussion further explores the role of socio-cultural and institutional dynamics in shaping the measurement of rape. It is evident that patriarchal norms and heteronormative assumptions influence not only individual behaviour but also institutional practices, including policing, prosecution, and data collection (Connell, 2005). These dynamics contribute to high levels of underreporting, as victims may fear stigma, disbelief, or retaliation (World Health Organization, 2013). Additionally, institutional fragmentation, characterised by the lack of integrated data systems across police, health, and social services, further limits the accuracy of rape measurement (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 2019). Comparative analysis suggests that countries with coordinated data systems and public education campaigns on consent achieve more reliable reporting and measurement outcomes (United Nations, 2015). In contrast, the Jamaican system lacks comprehensive victimisation surveys and gender-disaggregated data, which are essential for capturing the true prevalence of sexual violence. The discussion thus highlights the need for institutional reform alongside definitional change. Without addressing these structural and cultural factors, improvements in measurement may remain limited. Therefore, a holistic approach is required to enhance both the accuracy and inclusivity of rape statistics.

Finally, the discussion considers the implications of adopting a gender-inclusive definition of rape, as proposed in this study. The evidence suggests that redefining rape as sexual intercourse against a person's will, irrespective of gender or circumstance, would significantly enhance both legal equity and empirical measurement (United Nations, 2015). Such a definition aligns with

international human rights standards and reflects a more nuanced understanding of consent and victimisation (World Health Organization, 2013). The anticipated increase in reported cases following such reform should be interpreted as an improvement in measurement rather than an escalation of crime, as demonstrated in comparative contexts (Stemple & Meyer, 2014). Moreover, the inclusion of male victims within official statistics would enable more targeted policy interventions and resource allocation. However, the discussion also acknowledges potential resistance arising from entrenched cultural beliefs and institutional inertia. Overcoming these challenges will require sustained advocacy, legal reform, and public education initiatives. The integration of gender-neutral definitions with improved data systems and support services is essential for achieving meaningful change. Ultimately, the study concludes that redefining rape is a critical step toward establishing a more accurate, inclusive, and just framework for understanding sexual violence in Jamaica.

Conclusion

The measurement of rape in Jamaica is fundamentally shaped by the definitions that underpin legal and statistical frameworks, and as such, any limitations within these definitions have far-reaching consequences (World Health Organization, 2013). This study has demonstrated that the traditional gender-specific definition of rape not only excludes male victims but also distorts the overall understanding of sexual violence within the society. By critically examining the current Sexual Offences Act of Jamaica (Government of Jamaica, 2009), there is a clear limitation on the underreporting of the actual number of people who are sexually assaulted. This fact highlights the need for a more inclusive and consent-based conceptualisation. The proposed definition, sexual intercourse against a person's will, including all genders and circumstances, offers a comprehensive alternative that aligns with contemporary human rights standards (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 2019). This reconceptualisation has significant implications for law reform, data accuracy, and victim support services (Stemple & Meyer, 2014). It also challenges deeply embedded socio-cultural norms that have historically marginalised certain groups of victims (Connell, 2005). While the process of reform may encounter resistance, the pursuit of equity and justice necessitates such transformation (Javaid, 2015). Ultimately, expanding the definition of rape in Jamaica is not only a legal imperative but also a moral and societal one.

References

- Bourne, P. A. (2012). Births, economic growth, mortality, and murder in a developing country. *Health*, 4(2), 81-90. <https://doi.org/10.4236/health.2012.42009>
- Bourne, P. A. (2014). The influence of macroeconomic variables on health indices, murder, and mortality: A case of Jamaica. *Journal of General Practice*, 2(2), 1-11. DOI: 10.4172/2329-9126.1000145
- Bourne, P. A. (2024). Sexual violence against adult Jamaicans (1970-2024): A comprehensive meta-analysis of prevalence, correlates, and intervention effectiveness using Bayesian and frequentist approaches. *International Journal of Current Research in Education, Culture and Society*, 9(2), 14-20.

- Bourne, P. A. (2025a). Sexual violence against males in Jamaica (1970-2024): A meta-analytical review of prevalence, determinants, and intervention outcomes. *International Journal of Information Technology, Learning and Computing Journal (IJITLCJ)*, 9(1), 1-17.
- Bourne, P. A. (2025b). *Demographic and epidemiological transitions of rape and suicide in Jamaica, 1970-2024: A longitudinal study of social, economic, and public health determinants*. *International Journal of Social Sciences and Management Review*, 8(5). <https://ijssmr.org/vol-8-issue-5/demographic-and-epidemiological-transitions-of-rape-and-suicide-in-jamaica-1970-2024-a-longitudinal-study-of-social-economic-and-public-health-determinants/>
- Bourne, P. A., Hudson-Davis, A., Sharpe-Pryce, C., Francis, C., Solan, I., & Nelson, S. (2015). Homicide, rape, and carnal abuse in Jamaica, 1970-2013: The new health pandemics. *International Journal of Emergency Mental Health and Human Resilience*, 17(2), 588-597. <https://doi.org/10.4172/1522-4821.1000224>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77-101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Brownmiller, S. (1975). *Against our will: Men, women, and rape*. Simon & Schuster.
- Caribbean Community (CARICOM). (2026). *Crime and violence as a public health issue*. <https://caricom.org/tag/crime-and-violence-as-a-public-health-issue/>
- Connell, R. W. (2005). *Masculinities* (2nd ed.). University of California Press.
- Crenshaw, K. (1991). Mapping the Margins: Intersectionality, Identity Politics, and Violence against Women of Color. *Stanford Law Review*, 43(6), 1241-1299. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1229039>
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2018). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). Sage.
- Government of Jamaica. (2009). *Sexual Offences Act, 2009* Kingston: Government Printery. https://japarliament.gov.jm/attachments/341_The%20Sexual%20Offences%20Act,%202009.pdf
- Harriott, A. (2003). *Understanding crime in Jamaica: New challenges for public policy*. University of the West Indies Press.
- Harriott, A. (2004). Crime trends in the Caribbean and responses. In A. Harriott, F. Brathwaite, & S. Wortley (Eds.), *Crime and criminal justice in the Caribbean* (pp. 1-23). Arawak Publications.
- Harriott, A., & Jones, G. (2016). *Crime and violence in Jamaica: IDB series on crime and violence in the Caribbean*. Inter-American Development Bank. <https://publications.iadb.org/publications/english/document/Crime-and-Violence-in-Jamaica-IDB-Series-on-Crime-and-Violence-in-the-Caribbean.pdf>
- Javaid, A. (2015). The dark side of men: The nature of masculinity and its uneasy relationship with male rape. *The Journal of Men's Studies*, 23(3), 271-292. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1060826515600656>

- Kelly, L., & Radford, J. (1998). Sexual violence against women and girls: An approach to an international overview. In R. Dobash & R. Dobash (Eds.), *Rethinking violence against women* (pp. 53-76). Sage.
- RAINN. (2020). *Statistics: Victims of sexual violence*. <https://rainn.org/facts-statistics-the-scope-of-the-problem/statistics-victims-of-sexual-violence/>
- Stemple, L., & Meyer, I. H. (2014). The sexual victimization of men in America: new data challenge old assumptions. *American journal of public health*, 104(6), e19-e26. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2014.301946>
- United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2019). *Global study on homicide: Gender-related killing of women and girls*. https://www.unodc.org/documents/data-and-analysis/gsh/Booklet_5.pdf
- United Nations. (2015). *Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development*. <https://sdgs.un.org/2030agenda>
- Walby, S., Towers, J., & Francis, B. (2017). Measuring violence to end violence: Mainstreaming gender. *Journal of Gender-Based Violence*, 1(1), 11-31. <https://doi.org/10.1332/239868017X14913081639155>
- World Health Organization. (2013). *Global and regional estimates of violence against women*. <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789241564625>

Appendices

Appendix A: Operationalisation of Variables and Measures

This appendix outlines the operational definitions and measurement strategies used to quantify rape and related constructs within the study of sexual violence in Jamaica. The dependent variable, *rape incidence*, is operationalised as the annual number of reported rape cases per 100,000 population, derived from police statistics and standardised to allow comparability across time. Independent variables include legal definition type (binary: gender-specific = 0; gender-neutral = 1), reporting rate (percentage of incidents reported), and victim demographic characteristics (sex, age, and socio-economic status). Male victimisation is treated as a latent variable due to its underrepresentation in official statistics, requiring indirect estimation through proxy indicators such as related offences and survey data (Stemple & Meyer, 2014). Consent is operationalised as a categorical variable (valid, invalid, or absent), based on legal and situational criteria outlined in the **Sexual Offences Act (Jamaica)** (Government of Jamaica, 2009). Underreporting is estimated using discrepancies between survey-based prevalence and police-reported cases (World Health Organization, 2013). Data sources include administrative records, international datasets, and scholarly estimates. All variables are standardised to ensure consistency in analysis. This operational framework provides the basis for quantitative modelling and comparative analysis.

Appendix B: Formula for Rape Rate Calculation

To standardise rape incidence across populations, the study employs a rate per 100,000 population:

$$\text{Rape Rate} = \left(\frac{\text{Number of Reported Rape Cases}}{\text{Total Population}} \right) \times 100,000$$

This formula enables comparison across years and jurisdictions by adjusting for population size. For example, if Jamaica reports 1,200 rape cases in a population of 2,800,000:

$$\text{Rape Rate} = \left(\frac{1,200}{2,800,000} \right) \times 100,000 = 42.86$$

This indicates approximately 43 cases per 100,000 population. However, this figure reflects only reported cases and does not account for underreporting. Therefore, it is interpreted as a lower-bound estimate of actual incidence. The use of this standardised rate aligns with international criminological and public health practices (United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime, 2019).

Appendix C: Estimation of True Prevalence (Underreporting Adjustment Model)

To account for underreporting, the study applies an adjustment model that estimates the true number of rape cases:

$$\text{Estimated True Cases} = \frac{\text{Reported Cases}}{\text{Reporting Rate}}$$

Where the reporting rate is expressed as a proportion (e.g., 0.30 for 30%). For instance, if 1,200 cases are reported and the reporting rate is estimated at 30%:

$$\text{Estimated True Cases} = \frac{1,200}{0.30} = 4,000$$

This suggests that the actual number of rape incidents may be more than three times the reported figure. Such models are widely used in victimisation research to approximate hidden populations (World Health Organization, 2013). The limitation of this approach lies in the uncertainty surrounding reporting rate estimates, which may vary across demographic groups and contexts. Nevertheless, it provides a useful approximation for policy and analytical purposes.

Appendix D: Gender-Inclusive Measurement Model

To incorporate male victimisation into rape statistics, the study proposes a composite measurement model:

$$\text{Total Rape Incidence} = R_f + R_m + R_o$$

Where:

- R_f = reported female rape cases
- R_m = estimated male rape cases
- R_o = other cases (e.g., non-binary, unclassified, or incapacitated victims)

This model expands traditional measurement frameworks by integrating previously excluded categories. For example, if reported female cases are 1,200, estimated male cases are 300, and other cases are 100:

$$\text{Total Rape Incidence} = 1,200 + 300 + 100 = 1,600$$

This approach provides a more comprehensive estimate of sexual violence. It also facilitates gender-disaggregated analysis and more equitable policy responses. The model aligns with recommendations from international organisations advocating inclusive data systems (United Nations, 2015).

Appendix E: Conceptual Framework Diagram (Narrative Representation)

The conceptual framework underpinning this study integrates legal definitions, socio-cultural factors, and measurement outcomes. At the core is the legal definition of rape, which directly influences what is recorded in official statistics. This definition is shaped by socio-cultural norms, particularly gender roles and perceptions of masculinity (Connell, 2005). These norms also affect reporting behaviour, contributing to underreporting and misclassification. Institutional factors, including policing practices and data systems, mediate the relationship between reported and actual cases. The proposed expanded definition introduces a new pathway that captures previously excluded victims, particularly males. This, in turn, leads to more accurate measurement and improved policy outcomes. The framework highlights the interdependence of

legal, social, and statistical dimensions. It also underscores the need for holistic reform to achieve accurate measurement of rape in Jamaica.

Appendix F: Sample Data Table (Illustrative)

Year	Reported Rape Cases	Estimated Reporting Rate	Estimated True Cases	Adjusted Rate per 100,000
2020	1,100	0.30	3,667	131.0
2021	1,250	0.28	4,464	159.4
2022	1,300	0.25	5,200	185.7

This table illustrates how underreporting adjustments significantly alter the interpretation of rape statistics. While reported cases show modest increases, adjusted estimates reveal a substantially higher burden of sexual violence. Such computations reinforce the study’s argument that current measurement practices underestimate the true scale of rape. They also demonstrate the importance of integrating quantitative models into policy analysis. The figures are illustrative but grounded in methodological approaches recommended by the World Health Organization (2013).